



RECEIVED

MAR 02 2009

CHAIR
Sherwood Lingenfelter
Fuller Theological Seminary

VICE CHAIR
Horace Mitchell
California State University, Bakersfield

Mark Bookman
American Jewish University

W. Bernard Bowler
Public Member

Jerry Dean Campbell
Claremont School of Theology

Anna DiStefano
Fielding Graduate University

James Donahue
Graduate Theological Union

Jackie Donath
California State University, Sacramento

Aimée Dorr
University of California, Los Angeles

John Eshelman
Seattle University

John Fitzpatrick
Schools Commission Representative

Laurence Gould
Public Member

Michael L. Jackson
University of Southern California

Linda Johnsrud
University of Hawaii

Roberts Jones
Public Member

Louanne Kennedy
*California State University,
Dominguez Hills*

Thomas McFadden
*Community and Junior Colleges
Commission Representative*

Leroy Morishita
San Francisco State University

William Plater
Public Member

Sheldon Schuster
Keck Graduate Institute

Eleanor Dantzer Siebert
Mount St. Mary's College

Carmen Sigler
San Jose State University

Larry Vanderhoef
University of California, Davis

Michael Whyte
Azusa Pacific University

Paul Zingg
California State University, Chico

PRESIDENT AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Ralph A. Wolff

February 26, 2009

Bro. Bernard Ploeger
Interim President and Executive Vice President
Chaminade University of Honolulu
3140 Waiialae Avenue
Honolulu, HI 96816-1578

Dear President Ploeger:

At its meeting February 16-17, 2009, the Commission considered the report of the Capacity and Preparatory Review team that conducted the visit to the Chaminade University of Honolulu October 1-3, 2008. The Commission also reviewed the Capacity and Preparatory Report submitted by the University prior to the visit. The Commission appreciated the opportunity to discuss the visit with you; Michael Fassiotto, Dean of Professional Education; Bro. William Campbell, Chair of the Academic Affairs Committee of the Board of Trustees; and Professor Ron Becker, Chair of the WASC Steering Committee. The updates and information that you provided, and your observations about the review, were very helpful.

Chaminade has made significant progress on many fronts since its last reaccreditation. An outstanding leadership team, supported by a dedicated Board of Regents, has achieved unprecedented success in financial management and fundraising, and the campus has been enhanced by several new or renovated facilities, including a splendid new library. The spirit of "ohana" or family, along with enthusiasm for the mission and values of the University, is palpable across all sectors of the campus community. Most notably, Chaminade has responded with grace and resilience to the illness and death of President Sue Wesselkamper.

The Commission endorsed the findings, commendations, and recommendations of the team and wishes to emphasize the importance of the seven areas cited in the team report: 1) defining Student Learning Outcomes for general education and degree programs that have not yet done so; 2) introducing formal program review with external evaluators; 3) clarifying the process, criteria, and purposes of the Growth and Development Plan, or GDP; 4) clarifying expectations for faculty research and scholarship; 5) integrating service learning into the curriculum and assessing outcomes; 6) strengthening IT; and 7) increasing enrollment. The Commission expects that these areas will be addressed in the Educational Effectiveness Review (EER) report and hopes to see substantial progress in all of them by the time of the EER visit.

The Standards of Accreditation place special emphasis on student learning and on the obligation of institutions to assess student learning and identify ways to improve student learning. Chaminade is just building the infrastructure for the assessment of student learning at the program level. At the time of the EER, the team should find that this infrastructure is much more fully developed, and that assessment is underway across the university. Results of assessment of student learning should be used by faculty for improvement, and should be available for the team's review. This expectation aligns

with one of Chaminade's key themes for the EER, namely assessing student learning to enhance quality.

The Commission acted to:


1. Receive the Capacity and Preparatory Review Report and continue the accreditation of Chaminade University of Honolulu.
2. Proceed with the scheduled Educational Effectiveness Review visit in March 2010.
3. Request that the institution incorporate its response to the issues raised in this action letter and to the major recommendations of the CPR team report into its Educational Effectiveness Review Report.

In accordance with Commission policy, a copy of this letter will be sent to the chair of the institution's governing board within one week. It is the Commission's expectation that the team report and this action letter will be widely disseminated throughout the institution to promote engagement and improvement and to support the institution's response to the specific issues identified in the team report.

Finally, the Commission noted that the team dedicated its report and commented with great praise on the leadership provided by Sue Wesselkamper. The Commission wished also to acknowledge the significant contributions she made to Chaminade and to higher education in the region through her service on the Commission.

Please contact me if you have any questions or comments about this letter or the action of the Commission.

Sincerely,



Ralph A. Wolff
President and Executive Director

cc: Commission Chair
Board Chair
Accreditation Liaison Officer
Members of the team
Barbara Wright